UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,622	06/08/2006	Hirofumi Ito	06920/0204370-US0	3648
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 05/27/200 RBY P.C.	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770	tation	WOOD, ELIZABETH D		
Church Street Station New York, NY 10008-0770			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/595,622	ITO ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Elizabeth D. Wood	1793		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTENED STATES AND A CONTROL OF THE MAILING ASIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. To period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiled will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pr			
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,2 and 7 is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 3-6 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and significant or subject to by the Examination Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examination Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the specific part of the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the specific part of the specific pa	thdrawn from consideration.  I/or election requirement.  ner.  ccepted or b) □ objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 5/1/06, 7/12/06, 8/10/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/595,622 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

## Specification

The examiner has not checked the specification to the extent necessary to determine the presence of **all** possible minor errors (grammatical, typographical and idiomatic). Cooperation of the applicant(s) is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant(s) may become aware of in the specification, in the claims and in any future amendment(s) that applicant(s) may file.

Applicant(s) is also requested to complete the status of any copending applications referred to in the specification by their Attorney Docket Number or Application Serial Number, **if any**.

The status of the parent application(s) and/or any other application(s) cross-referenced to this application, if **any**, should be updated in a timely manner.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

It should be noted that all documents not in the English language have been considered to the extent possible from English abstracts, statements of relevance and the provided foreign search report.

### Election/Restriction

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claims 3-6 in the reply filed on March 25, 2009 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,622 Page 3

Art Unit: 1793

Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are indefinite in the terminology stating that an alkaline earth metal salt and a zeolite seed crystal are coexistent with each other and the terminology that the crystal "which is added" in step I, because there is no antecedent basis for the addition or presence of said zeolite seed crystal or said alkaline earth metal salt in the raw material ingredients during step one.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 60-251121 A in view of JP 61-058812 A.

The invention involves a method for making an MFI zeolite by employing zeolite seed crystals during the hydrothermal synthesis. The zeolite crystals do not exceed 1.5 microns.

JP 60-251121 A discloses a ZSM-5 zeolite catalyst that is produced from a synthesis mixture analogous to that recited in the instantly claimed process. The examiner considers the broad ranges of the catalyst ingredients to be commensurate with those set forth in the instant claims. The examiner further considers arrival at the optimum ranges to involve no more than routine experimentation because the JP composition has the same utility as the instant composition, and therefore the effective amounts of the components would be expected to be similar. See particularly pages 2-4. The document fails to recite a zeolite seed crystal as claimed.

It is well known in the art of zeolite synthesis to employ seed crystals to produce final compositions having crystals of consistent and desired particle size. Therefore it would have been within the skill of an artisan practicing in this field to employ a zeolite seed with a size as recited herein to produce a final composition of optimum particle or

crystal size. JP 61-058812 A is relied on for the teaching that ZSM-5 seed crystals on the order of those claimed herein are known to be used during the synthesis of zeolite materials. It would therefore have been obvious to employ such crystals as taught by the prior art. See particularly the claims and pages 3-4.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

WO 01/30697 is cited to teach the use of very small seed crystals to produce MFI zeolite catalyst materials.

Applicants are advised that any evidence to be provided under 37 CFR 1.131 or 1.132 and any amendments to the claims and specification should be submitted prior to final rejection to be considered timely. It is anticipated that the next office action will be a final rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth D. Wood whose telephone number is 571-272-1377. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571-272-1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,622 Page 6

Art Unit: 1793

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Elizabeth D. Wood/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

/E. D. W./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793